

# THE QUANTUM MACMAHON MASTER THEOREM

STAVROS GAROUFALIDIS, THANG TQ LÊ, AND DORON ZEILBERGER

**ABSTRACT.** We state and prove a quantum-generalization of MacMahon's celebrated Master Theorem, and relate it to a quantum-generalization of the boson-fermion correspondence of Physics.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. MacMahon's Master Theorem.** In this paper we state and prove a quantum-generalization of MacMahon's celebrated Master Theorem, conjectured by the first two authors. Our result was motivated by quantum topology. In addition to its potential importance in knot theory and quantum topology (explained in brief in the last section), this paper answers George Andrews's long-standing open problem [A] of finding a *natural*  $q$ -analog of MacMahon's Master Theorem.

Let us recall the original form of MacMahon's Master Theorem and some of its modern interpretations.

Consider a square matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  of size  $r$  with entries in some commutative ring. For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , let  $X_i := \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} x_j$ , (where  $x_i$ 's are commuting variables) and for any vector  $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  of non-negative integers let  $G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  be the coefficient of  $x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_r^{m_r}$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{m_i}$ . *MacMahon's Master Theorem* is the following identity (see [MM]):

$$(1) \quad \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} G(m_1, \dots, m_r) = 1/\det(I - A).$$

There are several equivalent reformulations of MacMahon's Master Theorem; see for example [FZ] and references therein. Let us mention one, of importance to physics.

Given a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  of size  $r$  with commuting entries which lie in a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , and a nonnegative integer  $n$ , we can consider its *symmetric* and *exterior* powers  $S^n(A)$  and  $\Lambda^n(A)$ , and their traces  $\text{tr } S^n(A)$  and  $\text{tr } \Lambda^n(A)$  respectively. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } S^n(A) &= \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_r = n} G(m_1, \dots, m_r) \\ \det(I - tA) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \text{tr } \Lambda^n(A) t^n, \end{aligned}$$

the following identity

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \text{tr } \Lambda^n(A) t^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr } S^n(A) t^n$$

in  $\mathcal{R}[[t]]$  is equivalent to (1). In Physics (2) is called the *boson-fermion* correspondence, where bosons (resp. fermions) are commuting (resp. skew-commuting) particles corresponding to symmetric (resp. exterior) powers.

---

*Date:* This edition: May 26, 2005. First edition: March 24, 2003.

The authors were supported in part by NSF.

1991 *Mathematics Classification.* Primary 57N10, 05A30. Secondary 57M25.

*Key words and phrases:* Boson-fermion correspondence, MacMahon's Master Theorem,  $q$ -difference operators .

**1.2. Quantum algebra, right-quantum matrices and quantum determinants.** In  $r$ -dimensional *quantum algebra* we have  $r$  indeterminate variables  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ), satisfying the commutation relations  $x_j x_i = q x_i x_j$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ . We also consider matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  of  $r^2$  indeterminates  $a_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ , that commute with the  $x_i$ 's and such that for any 2 by 2 minor of  $(a_{ij})$ , consisting of rows  $i$  and  $i'$ , and columns  $j$  and  $j'$  (where  $1 \leq i < i' \leq r$ , and  $1 \leq j < j' \leq r$ ), writing  $a := a_{ij}$ ,  $b := a_{ij'}$ ,  $c := a_{i'j}$ ,  $d := a_{i'j'}$ , we have the *commutation relations*:

$$(3) \quad ca = qac, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a column})$$

$$(4) \quad db = qbd, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a column})$$

$$(5) \quad ad = da + q^{-1}cb - qbc \quad (\text{cross commutation relation}).$$

We will call such matrices  $A$  *right-quantum matrices*.

The *quantum determinant*, (first introduced in [FRT]) of any (not-necessarily right-quantum)  $r$  by  $r$  matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$  may be defined by

$$\det_q(B) := \sum_{\pi \in S_r} (-q)^{-\text{inv}(\pi)} b_{\pi_1 1} b_{\pi_2 2} \cdots b_{\pi_r r},$$

where the sum ranges over the set of permutations,  $S_r$ , of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ , and for any of its members,  $\pi$ ,  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  denotes the number of pairs  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$  for which  $\pi_i > \pi_j$ .

**1.3. A  $q$ -version of MacMahon's Master Theorem.** We are now ready to state our quantum version of MacMahon's Master Theorem.

**Theorem 1. (Quantum MacMahon Master Theorem)** *Fix a right-quantum matrix  $A$  of size  $r$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , let  $X_i := \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} x_j$ , and for any vector  $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  of non-negative integers let  $G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  be the coefficient of  $x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_r^{m_r}$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{m_i}$ . Let*

$$\text{Ferm}(A) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|J|} \det_q(A_J)$$

where the summation is over the set of all subsets  $J$  of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ , and  $A_J$  is the  $J$  by  $J$  submatrix of  $A$ , and

$$\text{Bos}(A) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} G(m_1, \dots, m_r).$$

Then

$$\text{Bos}(A) = 1/\text{Ferm}(A).$$

When we specialize to  $q = 1$ , Theorem 1 recovers Equation (2), which explains why our result is a  $q$ -version of the MacMahon Master Theorem. For a motivation of Theorem 1, see Section 3.

The above result is not only interesting from the combinatorial point of view, but it is also a key ingredient in a *finite noncommutative formula* for the colored Jones function of a knot. This will be explained in a subsequent publication, [HL].

**1.4. Computer code.** The results of the paper have been verified by computer code, written by the third author. Maple programs QuantumMACMAHON and qMM are available at: <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/>. The former proves rigorously Theorem 1 for any fixed  $r$ .

**1.5. Acknowledgement.** The authors wish to thank the anonymous referee who pointed out an error in an earlier version of the paper, and Martin Loebl for enlightening conversations.

## 2. PROOF

**2.1. Some lemmas on operators.** The proof will make crucial use of a *calculus of difference operators*, developed by the third author in [Z1]. This calculus of difference operators predates the more advanced calculus of holonomic functions, developed by the third author in [Z2].

Difference operators act on *discrete functions*  $F$ , that is functions whose domain is  $\mathbb{N}^r$ . For example, consider the *shift-operators*  $M_i$  and the *multiplication operator*  $Q_i$  which act on a discrete function  $F(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (M_i F)(m_1, \dots, m_r) &:= F(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i + 1, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_r) \\ (Q_i F)(m_1, \dots, m_r) &:= q^{m_i} F(m_1, \dots, m_r). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$M_i Q_i = q Q_i M_i.$$

Abbreviating  $Q_i$  by  $q^{m_i}$ , we obtain that:

$$(6) \quad M_i q^{m_i} = q^{m_i+1} M_i \quad M_i q^{m_j} = q^{m_j} M_i \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Another example is the operator  $\hat{x}_i$  which left multiplies  $F$  by  $x_i$ . Notice that  $\hat{x}_j \hat{x}_i = q \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j$  for  $j > i$ . In the proof below, we will denote  $\hat{x}_i$  by  $x_i$ . In that case, the identity  $x_j x_i = q x_i x_j$  for  $j > i$  holds in the quantum algebra, as well as in the algebra of operators.

Before embarking on the proof, we need the following readily-verified lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** (*commuting  $X_i$  with  $X_j$* ) For  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ ,  $X_j X_i = q X_i X_j$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (*commuting  $x_i$  with  $X_j$* ) For each of the  $a_{ij}$ , define the operator  $Q_{ij}$  acting on expressions  $P$  involving  $a_{ij}$  by  $Q_{ij} P(a_{ij}) := P(q a_{ij})$ . Then, for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ , and integer  $m_i$  and any expression  $F$

$$x_i^{-m_i} X_j F = [(Q_{j1}^{-1} Q_{j2}^{-1} \cdots Q_{j,i-1}^{-1} Q_{j,i+1} \cdots Q_{jr})^{m_i} X_j] x_i^{-m_i} F.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** (*Column expansion with respect to the last column*): Given an  $r$  by  $r$  matrix  $(a_{ij})$  (not necessarily quantum) let  $A_i$  be the minor of the entry  $a_{ir}$ , i.e. the  $r-1$  by  $r-1$  matrix obtained by deleting the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $r^{\text{th}}$  column. Then

$$\det_q(A) = \sum_{i=1}^r (-q)^{i-r} (\det_q A_i) a_{ir}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $A$  is a matrix that satisfies Equation (5) and  $A'$  denotes a matrix obtained by interchanging the  $i$  and  $j$  columns of  $A$ , then  $\det_q(A') = (-q)^{-\text{inv}(ij)} \det_q(A)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that we interchange two adjacent columns  $i$  and  $j := i+1$ . Consider the involution of  $S_r$  that sends a permutation  $\pi$  to  $\pi' = \pi(ij)$ . Given  $\pi \in S_r$ , let  $(A; \pi) = (-1)^{-\text{inv}(\pi)} a_{\pi_1 1} \cdots a_{\pi_r r}$  denote the contribution of  $\pi$  in  $\det_q(A)$ . Then,  $\det_q(A) = \sum_{\pi} (A; \pi)$ . Equation (5) implies that

$$(A; \pi) + (A; \pi') = (-q)((A'; \pi) + (A'; \pi')).$$

Summing over all permutations proves the result when  $j = i+1$ .

Observe that when  $j = i+1$ , the matrix  $A'$  is no longer right-quantum since it does not satisfy (5). However, the proof used only the fact that (5) holds for the  $i$  and  $i+1$  columns of  $A$ .

Thus, the proof can be iterated  $\text{inv}(ij)$  times to commute the  $i$  and  $j > i$  columns of  $A$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** (*Equal columns imply that  $\det_q$  vanishes*): Let  $A$  be a right-quantum matrix. In the notation of Lemma 2.3, for all  $j \neq r$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (-q)^{i-r} (\det_q A_i) a_{ij} = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $j = r-1$ , it is easy to see that  $q$ -commutation along the entries in every column of  $A$  imply that the sum vanishes.

If  $j < r-1$ , use Lemma 2.4 to reduce it to the case of  $j = r-1$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* One can give an alternative proof of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 from the trivial 2 by 2 case and, by induction using the  $q$ -Laplace expansion of a  $q$ -determinant that is completely analogous to the classical case.

**2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.** The proof is a quantum-adaptation of the “operator-elimination” proof of MacMahon’s Master Theorem given in [Z1]. Fix a right-quantum matrix  $A$ .

Observe that  $G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  is the coefficient of  $x_1^0 \dots x_r^0$  in

$$H(m_1, \dots, m_r; x_1, \dots, x_r) := x_r^{-m_r} \dots x_2^{-m_2} x_1^{-m_1} \prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{m_i}.$$

We will think of  $H$  as a *discrete function*, that is as a function of  $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ .  $H$  takes values in the ring of noncommutative Laurent polynomials in the  $x_i$ s, with coefficients in the ring generated by the entries of  $A$ , modulo the ideal given by (3)-(5).

Let’s see how the shift operators  $M_i$  acts on  $H$ . By definition,

$$M_i H(m_1, \dots, m_r; x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_r^{-m_r} \dots x_{i+1}^{-m_{i+1}} x_i^{-m_i-1} x_{i-1}^{-m_{i-1}} \dots x_1^{-m_1} X_1^{m_1} \dots X_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} X_i^{m_i+1} X_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \dots X_r^{m_r}.$$

By moving  $x_i^{-1}$  to the front and  $X_i$  in front of  $X_1^{m_1}$ , and using Lemma 2.1 and  $x_j x_i = q x_i x_j$ , we have

$$M_i H(m_1, \dots, m_r; x_1, \dots, x_r) = q^{m_r+m_{r-1}+\dots+m_{i+1}-m_1-m_2-\dots-m_{i-1}} x_i^{-1} [x_r^{-m_r} \dots x_1^{-m_1} X_i] X_1^{m_1} \dots X_r^{m_r}.$$

By moving  $X_i$  next to  $x_i^{-1}$  and using Lemma 2.2 this equals to:

$$q^{m_r+m_{r-1}+\dots+m_{i+1}-m_1-m_2-\dots-m_{i-1}} x_i^{-1} \cdot [(Q_{i2} \dots Q_{ir})^{m_1} (Q_{i1}^{-1} Q_{i3} \dots Q_{ir})^{m_2} (Q_{i1}^{-1} Q_{i2}^{-1} Q_{i4} \dots Q_{ir})^{m_3} \dots (Q_{i1}^{-1} Q_{i2}^{-1} \dots Q_{i,r-1}^{-1})^{m_r} X_i] \cdot x_r^{-m_r} \dots x_1^{-m_1} X_1^{m_1} \dots X_r^{m_r},$$

which is equal to

$$q^{m_r+m_{r-1}+\dots+m_{i+1}-m_1-m_2-\dots-m_{i-1}} x_i^{-1} \cdot (q^{-m_2-m_3-\dots-m_r} a_{i1} x_1 + q^{m_1-m_3-\dots-m_r} a_{i2} x_2 + \dots + q^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_{r-1}} a_{ir} x_r) H(m_1, \dots, m_r; x_1, \dots, x_r).$$

Multiplying out and rearranging, we get that the discrete function  $H(m_1, \dots, m_r; x_1, \dots, x_r)$  is annihilated by the  $r$  operators  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$

$$\mathcal{P}_i := \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} -q^{-m_j-2m_{j+1}-\dots-2m_{i-1}-m_i} a_{ij} x_j + (M_i - a_{ii}) x_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^r -q^{m_i+2m_{i+1}+\dots+2m_{j-1}+m_j} a_{ij} x_j.$$

Now comes a nice surprise. Let us define  $b_{ij}$  to be the coefficient of  $x_j$  in  $\mathcal{P}_i$ . For example, for  $r = 3$  we have:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 - a_{11} & -q^{m_1+m_2} a_{12} & -q^{m_1+2m_2+m_3} a_{13} \\ -q^{-m_1-m_2} a_{21} & M_2 - a_{22} & -q^{m_2+m_3} a_{23} \\ -q^{-m_1-2m_2-m_3} a_{31} & -q^{-m_2-m_3} a_{32} & M_3 - a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 2.7.**  $B$  is a right-quantum matrix.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the entries in each column of  $B$   $q$ -commute. To prove Equation (5), consider the following cases for a 2 by 2 submatrix  $C$  of  $B$ :  $C$  contains two, (resp. one, resp. no) diagonal entries of  $B$ , and prove it case by case, using the fact that the operators  $M_i$  and  $q^{m_j}$  commute with the  $a_{ij}$ , and satisfy the commutation relations (6).  $\square$

Now we eliminate  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}$  by left-multiplying  $\mathcal{P}_i$  by the minor of  $b_{ir}$  in  $B = (b_{ij})$  times  $(-q)^{i-r}$ , for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and adding them all up. Since  $B$  is right-quantum (by Lemma 2.7), Lemma 2.5 implies that the coefficients of  $x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$  all vanish, and  $\det_q(B) x_r H = 0$ . After left multiplying by  $x_r^{-1}$  which commutes with the entries in  $B$ , we obtain that

$$\det_q(B) H(m_1, \dots, m_r; x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0.$$

Since the entries of  $B$  do not contain  $x_i$ 's, it follows that  $\det_q(B)$  annihilates every coefficient of  $H$ , in particular its constant term. Taking the constant term yields

$$\det_q(B)G(m_1, \dots, m_r) = 0.$$

Here comes the next surprise.

**Lemma 2.8.** (a) We have:

$$\det_q(B) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|J|} \det_q(A_J) M_{\bar{J}}$$

where  $\bar{J} = \{1, \dots, r\} - J$  and  $M_J = \prod_{j \in J} M_j$ .

(b) In particular,

$$\det_q(B)|_{M_1=\dots=M_r=1} = \text{Ferm}(A).$$

*Proof.* Let us expand  $\det_q(B)$  as a sum over permutations  $\pi \in S_r$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \det_q(B) &= \sum_{\pi \in S_r} (-q)^{-\text{inv}(\pi)} b_{\pi_1 1} b_{\pi_2 2} \cdots b_{\pi_r r} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_r} \prod_{i=1}^r (-q)^{-\text{inv}(\pi, i)} b_{\pi_i i} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{inv}(\pi, i)$  is the number of  $j > i$  such that  $\pi_i > \pi_j$ . Now,  $b_{ij} = \delta_{ij} M_i - q_{ij} a_{ij}$ , where  $q_{ij}$  is a monomial in the variables  $q^{m_k}$ , and  $\prod_i q_{\pi_i i} = 1$ . Moreover, if  $\pi_i = i$ , then for each  $j$  with  $i < j \neq \pi_j$ , the exponent of  $q^{m_i}$  in  $q_{ij}$  is 2 if  $\pi_j < i$  and 0 if  $\pi_j > i$ .

Since  $\prod_i q_{\pi_i i} = 1$ , we can move the monomials  $q_{ij}$  in the left of  $\prod_i (-q)^{-\text{inv}(\pi, i)} b_{\pi_i i}$ , and then cancel them. The monomials commute with all entries of the matrix  $b_{ij}$ , *except* with the diagonal ones. Commuting  $q^{2m_i}$  with  $b_{ii} = \delta_{\pi_i i} M_{ii} - q_{\pi_i i} a_{\pi_i i}$  gives:  $b_{ii} q^{2m_i} = q^{2m_i} (\delta_{\pi_i i} q^2 M_{ii} - q_{\pi_i i} a_{\pi_i i})$ . In other words, it replaces  $M_i$  by  $q^2 M_i$ . Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \det_q(B) &= \sum_{\pi \in S_r} \prod_{i=1}^r (-q)^{-\text{inv}(\pi, i)} (\delta_{\pi_i i} q^{2\text{inv}(\pi, i)} M_i - a_{\pi_i i}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_r} \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} \prod_{i \in J} (-q)^{-\text{inv}(\pi, i)} \delta_{\pi_i i} q^{2\text{inv}(\pi, i)} M_i \prod_{i \notin J} (-q)^{-\text{inv}(\pi, i)} (-a_{\pi_i i}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, rearrange the summation. Observe that every permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  gives rise to a permutation  $\pi'$  on the set  $\{1, \dots, r\} - \text{Fix}(\pi)$ , where  $\text{Fix}(\pi)$  is the fixed point set of  $\pi$ . Moreover,  $\text{inv}(\pi', i) = \text{inv}(\pi, i) - |\{j \in J : j > i\}|$ . Using this, part (a) follows. Part (b) follows from part (a) and the definition of  $\text{Ferm}(A)$ .  $\square$

Hence

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|J|} \det_q(A_J) M_{\bar{J}} G(m_1, \dots, m_r) = 0.$$

Summing over  $\mathbb{N}^r$ , we get:

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|J|} \det_q(A_J) M_{\bar{J}} G(m_1, \dots, m_r) = 0.$$

For a subset  $J = \{k_1, \dots, k_j\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ , we denote by  $G_J(m_{k_1}, \dots, m_{k_j})$  the evaluation  $G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$  at  $m_i = 0$  for all  $i \notin J$ , and we define

$$S_J = \sum_{m_{k_1}, \dots, m_{k_j}=0}^{\infty} G(m_1, \dots, m_r).$$

Using telescoping cancellation, the inclusion-exclusion principle, and Lemma 2.8(b), the above equation becomes

$$\sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|J|} \text{Ferm}(A_J) S_J = 0.$$

Using induction (with respect to  $r$ ), together with  $S_\emptyset = 1$ , we obtain that  $\text{Ferm}(A)S_{\{1,\dots,r\}} = 1$ . This concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. SOME REMARKS ON THE BOSON-FERMION CORRESPONDENCE

Let us give some motivation for Theorem 1 from the point of view of quantum topology.

For a reference on quantum space and quantum algebra, see [Ka, Chapter IV] and [M].

Recall that a vector (column or row) of  $r$  indeterminate entries  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  lies in  $r$ -dimensional *quantum space*  $A^{r|0}$  iff its entries satisfy

$$x_j x_i = q x_i x_j$$

for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ .

Recall that a *right* (resp. *left*) *endomorphism* of  $A^{r|0}$  is a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  of size  $r$  whose entries commute with the coordinates  $x_i$  of a vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)^T \in A^{r|0}$  and in addition,  $Ax$  (resp.  $x^T A$ ) lie in  $A^{r|0}$ . Recall also that an endomorphism of  $A^{r|0}$  is one that is right and left endomorphism.

It is easy to see (eg. in [Ka, Thm. IV.3.1]) that  $A$  is a right-quantum (i.e., a right-endomorphism) iff for every 2 by 2 submatrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  of  $A$  we have:

$$ca = qac, \quad db = qbd, \quad ad = da + q^{-1}cb - qbc.$$

Moreover,  $A$  is left-quantum iff for every 2 by 2 submatrix of  $A$  (as above) we have:

$$ba = qab, \quad dc = qcd, \quad ad = da + q^{-1}bc - qcb.$$

Finally,  $A$  is quantum iff for every 2 by 2 submatrix of  $A$  (as above) we have:

$$(7) \quad ba = qab, \quad ca = qac, \quad db = qbd, \quad dc = qcd, \quad cb = bc, \quad ad = da + q^{-1}cb - qbc.$$

The set of quantum matrices  $A$  are the points of the  $r$ -dimensional *quantum algebra*  $M_q(r)$ , which is defined to be the quotient of the free algebra in noncommuting variables  $x_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ , modulo the left ideal generated by the commutation relations of Equation (7).

The algebra  $M_q(r)$  has interesting and important structure.  $M_q(r)$  is Noetherian, has no zero divisors, and in addition, a basis for the underlying vector space is given by the set of *sorted monomials*  $\{\prod_{i,j} a_{ij}^{n_{ij}} | n_{ij} \geq 0\}$  where the product is taken lexicographically; see [Ka, Thm IV.4.1]. An important quotient of  $M_q(r)$  is the *quantum group*  $SL_q(r) := M_q(r)/(\det_q - 1)$ , which is a Hopf algebra [Ka, Sec.IV.6] whose representation theory gives rise to the quantum group invariants of knots, such as the celebrated *Jones polynomial*.

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } S^n(A) &= \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_r = n} G(m_1, \dots, m_r) \\ \text{tr } \Lambda^n(A) &= \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}, |J|=n} \det_q(A_J) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 implies that:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $A$  is in  $M_q(r)$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{\text{Ferm}(A)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr } S^n(A)$$

Since the algebra  $M_q(r)$  has a vector space basis given by sorted monomials, it should be possible to give an alternative proof of the quantum MacMahon Master Theorem using *combinatorics on words*, as was done in [FZ] for several proofs of the MacMahon Master theorem. We hope to return to this alternative point of view in the near future.

## REFERENCES

- [A] G. E. Andrews, *Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions*, The Theory and Applications of Special Functions (R. Aseky, Editor), Academic Press, New York, 1975, 191-224.
- [FRT] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin and L. Takhtadjan, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. Journal **1** (1990), 193-225.
- [FZ] D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, *A combinatorial proof of Bass's evaluation of the Ihara-Selberg zeta function for graphs*, Transactions Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999) 2257–2274.
- [HL] V. Huynh and TTQ Le, *On the Colored Jones Polynomial and the Kashaev invariant*, preprint 2005, [math.GT/0503296](http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GT/0503296).
- [Ka] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, GTM **155** Springer-Verlag (1995).
- [MM] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis* vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 1917. Reprinted by Chelsea, 1984.
- [M] Y. Manin, *Quantum groups and noncommutative geometry*, Université de Montréal, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Montreal, QC, 1988.
- [Z1] D. Zeilberger, *The algebra of linear partial difference operators and its applications*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **11** (1980) 919–934.
- [Z2] D. Zeilberger, *A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **32** (1990) 321–368.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA, <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~stavros>

*E-mail address:* [stavros@math.gatech.edu](mailto:stavros@math.gatech.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA

*E-mail address:* [letu@math.gatech.edu](mailto:letu@math.gatech.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN RD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019, USA

*E-mail address:* [zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu)